



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/928,861	09/12/97	NEYMAN	P3251

DONALD R BOYS  
P O BOX 187  
AROMAS CA 95004

LMC1/1026

HOOSAIN, A	EXAMINER
------------	----------

2748	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
------	----------	--------------

10/26/99  
DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**08/928,861**

Applicant(s)  
**Neyman, et al.**

Examiner  
**Allan Hoosain**

Group Art Unit  
**2748**



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 12, 1999

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-18 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-18 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2748

## FINAL DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 2-10 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Dekelbaum et al.** (US Patent 5,838,682).

As to Claims 2,10,13, with respect to Figures 1A and 1B, **Dekelbaum et al.** teach a call distribution method for routing Internet Protocol Network Telephony (IPNT) calls at Merchant Sales Facility, 100, (customer premises) having a managing processor, 102, and a computer workstation, 110, coupled to the managing processor, the managing processor having a set of sessions (routing rules) specific to and accessible and editable by a sales representative (person) assigned to the computer workstation, the call distribution method comprising steps of:

- a) receiving an incoming IPNT call at the managing processor (Col. 12, lines 1-8);
- b) determining the sales representative (person) assigned to the workstation is an intended recipient for the call (Col. 14, lines 40-48);

Art Unit: 2748

c) requesting routing by the managing processor from the specific set of current session routing rules accessible and editable by the sales representative (person) assigned to the computer workstation (Col. 14, lines 19-33); and

d) routing the call according to the current session routing rules specific to the appropriate sales representative (person) (Col. 14, lines 19-48 and Col. 14, line 49 through Col. 15, line 25).

As to Claims 3,17, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of Claim 2 wherein the editable session routing rules specific to the sales representative (person) are maintained at the computer workstation (Col. 14, lines 19-33).

As to Claims 4,14,18, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of Claim 2 wherein the editable session routing rules for the intended recipient are maintained on an ACD 106 (central client-server router executed on a processor) (Col. 14, lines 40-48).

As to Claims 5,15, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of Claim 4 wherein the processor is the managing processor for the call center (Col. 11, lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 2748

As to Claims 6,12,16, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of Claim 4 wherein the processor executing the client-server router is a processor separate from the managing processor (Col. 11, lines 45-67).

As to Claim 7, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of Claim 2 comprising a step executed by the sales representative (person) for editing the session routing rules via an interactive Graphical User Interface (GUI) executing on the intended recipient's computer workstation (Figures 6-9).

As to Claim 8, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teaches the call distribution method of Claim 4 wherein there are multiple workstations coupled to the managing processor, and the ACD (client-server router) has session router-rule portions dedicated to individual ones of sales representatives at individual ones of the computer workstations, and wherein an individual sales representative, through a browser (user interface) executing on a computer workstation, may access the session portion dedicated to that sales representative, and process (edit) the session routing rules therein (Col. 12, lines 1-37).

As to claim 9, in addition to the information above, **Dekelbaum et al.** further teach the call distribution method of claim 8 wherein the browser (user interface) comprises a graphical user interface (GUI) having web pages (icons) indicating session IDs (telephone calls received) and for

Art Unit: 2748

viewing session history (choices of disposition of telephone calls received), and including steps for a sales representative to precipitate actions in call routing by web page operations (iconic drag-and-drop procedures) (Col. 14, lines 19-33 and Col. 15, lines 25-43)

3. Claims 2-10 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Bateman et al.** (US Patent 5,884,032).

As to Claims 2,10,13, with respect to Figure 1, **Bateman et al.** teach a call back method for routing Internet Protocol Network Telephony (IPNT) calls at customer premises, 2, having a call center server, 28, (managing processor) and a computer workstation, 11, coupled to the call center server (managing processor), the call center server (managing processor) having a set of call back routing rules specific to and accessible and editable by an agent (person) assigned to the computer workstation, the call back method comprising steps of:

- a) receiving an incoming IPNT call at the call center server (managing processor) (Col. 6, lines 1-6);
- b) determining the agent (person) assigned to the workstation is an intended recipient for the call (Col. 6, lines 31-45);
- c) requesting routing by the call center server (managing processor) from the specific set of current call back routing rules accessible and editable by the agent (person) assigned to the computer workstation (Col. 6, lines 45-55); and

Art Unit: 2748

d) routing the call according to the current call back routing rules specific to the agent (person) (Col. 6, lines 41-50 and Col. 7, lines 43-60).

As to Claims 3,17, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of Claim 2 wherein the editable routing rules specific to the agent (person) are maintained at the computer workstation (Col. 6, lines 45-52 and Col. 7, lines 43-50).

As to Claims 4,14,18, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of Claim 2 wherein the call back editable routing rules for the intended recipient are maintained on a central client-server router, 46, executed on a processor (Col. 6, lines 31-41).

As to Claims 5,15, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of Claim 4 wherein the processor is the managing processor for the call center (Col. 6, lines 25-32).

As to Claims 6,12,16, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the voice communication method of Claim 4 wherein the processor executing the client-server router is a processor connected to the LAN separate from the managing processor (Col. 5, lines 23-34).

Art Unit: 2748

As to Claim 7, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of Claim 2 comprising a step executed by the person for editing the call back routing rules via a WEB Page interactive Graphical User Interface (GUI) executing on the intended recipient's computer workstation (Col. 6, lines 48-52).

As to Claim 8, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of Claim 4 wherein there are multiple workstations coupled to the managing processor, and the client-server router has call back router-rule portions dedicated to individual ones of agents at individual ones of the computer workstations, and wherein an individual agent, through a WEB page user interface executing on a computer workstation to which the agent is assigned, may access the call back portion dedicated to that agent, and update (edit) the call back routing rules therein (Col. 4, lines 51-67 and Col. 6, lines 55-60 and Col. 7, lines 43-50).

As to claim 9, in addition to the information above, **Bateman et al.** further teach the call back method of claim 8 wherein the browser (user interface) comprises a graphical user interface (GUI) having web pages (icons) indicating hotlist (telephone calls received) and for scheduling (choices of disposition) of hotlist telephone calls received, and including steps for an agent to precipitate actions in call routing by web page operations (iconic drag-and-drop procedures) (Col. 6, lines 48-60 and 8-13 and Col. 8, line 62 through Col. 9, line 18)



Art Unit: 2748

*Response to Arguments*

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

*Conclusion*

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Dunn et al.** (US Patent 5,838,682) teach a method for allowing customers to modify services using the Internet.

**Klingman** (US Patent 5,729,594) teaches a method for making purchases over the Internet.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2748

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:**

**Box AF**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED  
PROCEDURE")

**Or:**

(703) 308-5403 (for informal or draft communications, please label  
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.,  
Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2748

8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Allan Hoosain** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Krista Zele**, can be reached on (703) 305-4701.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**Allan Hoosain** AH

**Patent Examiner**

**October 18, 1999**

FAN S. TSANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

